



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,692	09/05/2003	Akiyoshi Hashimoto	16869S-093900US	6495

20350 7590 05/12/2008  
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER
----------

WHIPPLE, BRIAN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2152

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/12/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/655,692	HASHIMOTO, AKIYOSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian P. Whipple	2152	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Brian P. Whipple.

 (2) Andrew Lee.
**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 May 2008
**Time:** 3:30 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8-9, 11, and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bunjod Jaroenchonwanit/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner previously discussed the case with his supervisor on 5/2/08 and found the inclusion of the ownership flag limitation of either claim 8 or 9 in the independent claim 1, would incorporate the allowable subject matter, and place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative stated he would contact Applicant and discuss an amendment to incorporate the limitation of claim 8 into claim 1. Examiner stated that the other independent claims, 11 and 15, were not allowable over the prior art and did not include dependent claims with allowable subject matter. Applicant's representative discussed possibly cancelling claims 11-15 in order to further prosecution of the case (and to later file a continuation for the broader claims). Examiner is awaiting a response from Applicant's representative and will delay processing the case until 5/16/08 in order to give Applicant's representative a chance to respond.